


Sr. No	Name of the Candidate whose nomination has been declared invalid	Reasons for being invalid	Contents of the appeal by the aggrieved	Decision of the Vice- Chancellor u/s 10(7) of Uniform Statute No.1 of 2017
1.	Dr. Bandyopadhyay Madhumita Kanai	The candidate has not undertaken the University Examinations related work for atleast five years in aggregate, as per the documents submitted by her.	<p>Dr. Bandyopadhyay Madhumita Kanai's appeal dated 09.10.2017</p> <p>Dear Madam,</p> <p>This is to inform you that my nomination for election to Board of Studies in History has been held invalid as the documents submitted do not reflect five years of Examination related work.</p> <p>However, I appeal to you to reconsider the validity of the nomination. I attach herewith the certificate from the Director of Board of Examination and Evaluation showing five years of Examination work undertaken by me.</p> <p>Please find attached the breakup of Examination work conducted in last 6 years according to the documents submitted on 7/10/2017. It shows examination work undertaken by me for 6 years.</p> <p>Thanking you,</p> <p>Yours faithfully,</p> <p>Dr. Madhumita Bandyopadhyay Associate Professor Smt. P.N. Doshi Women's College.</p>	<p>The candidate in her appeal dt. 09.10.2017 has stated that, her nomination has been held INVALID on the grounds that, she had not undertaken the University Examination related work for atleast five years in aggregate. The candidate had submitted seventeen copies of documents with her nomination form and requested for reconsideration of validity of her nomination.</p> <p>During the proceeding of the appeal before me, the Registrar placed the filled in nomination form dt. 6.10.2017 alongwith the documents as submitted by the candidate.</p> <p>The candidate had submitted seventeen documents related to University Examination work alongwith her nomination form. On perusal of the said documents, it is evident that, the candidate undertook University Examination related work in different capacities for the University Examinations held in April / May 2013 (2 certificates), October / November 2013 (3 certificates), October /November 2014 (2 certificates), May/June 2015 (3 certificates), May/June 2016 (2 certificates), October</p>

			<p>/November/December 2016 (one certificate), April /May 2017 (2 certificates), October / November 2017 (2 certificates). From the aforesaid 17 documents it is clear that, the candidate had undertaken University Examination related work during eight different academic terms of the semester pattern examinations in more than one capacity.</p> <p>The total experience of having undertaken the University Examinations related work by the candidate therefore works out to only four years in aggregate, as per the prevailing directions dt. SNTD/VC-Dir./VEW counting /2017-18/ September 06, 2017. <u>The candidate therefore does not fulfil the eligibility criteria of having undertaken University Examination related work for at least five years in aggregate as specified by the State Govt., vide notification dt. 28.04.2017.</u></p> <p><u>The decision of the Scrutiny Committee to declare the nomination in question as INVALID is upheld and the appeal is hereby rejected.</u></p> <p> (Dr. Shashikala Wanjari) Vice-Chancellor</p>
--	--	--	--